Clinical outcomes and recurrence rate of four procedures for recurrent anterior shoulder instability: ASA, "Remplissage," Open and Arthroscopic Latarjet. A Multicenter study.

Marco Maiotti, M.D., Andrea De Vita, M.D., Massimo De Benedetto, M.D., Simone Cerciello, M.D., Carlo Massoni, M.D., Angelo Di Giunta, M.D., Francesco Raffelini, M.D., Riccardo Lo Cascio, M.D., Piergiorgio Pirani, M.D, Roberto Castricini, M.D.

PII: S1058-2746(22)00859-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2022.10.030

Reference: YMSE 6195

To appear in: Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery

Received Date: 21 June 2022

Revised Date: 16 October 2022

Accepted Date: 20 October 2022

Please cite this article as: Maiotti M, De Vita A, De Benedetto M, Cerciello S, Massoni C, Di Giunta A, Raffelini F, Lo Cascio R, Pirani P, Castricini R, Clinical outcomes and recurrence rate of four procedures for recurrent anterior shoulder instability: ASA, "Remplissage," Open and Arthroscopic Latarjet. A Multicenter study., *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2022.10.030.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery Board of Trustees.



Clinical outcomes and recurrence rate of four procedures for recurrent anterior shoulder instability: ASA, "Remplissage," Open and Arthroscopic Latarjet. A Multicenter study.

Short title: Shoulder instability: four surgical procedures compared

Marco Maiotti M.D., Andrea De Vita M.D., Massimo De Benedetto M.D., Simone Cerciello M.D., Carlo Massoni M.D., Angelo Di Giunta M.D., Francesco Raffelini M.D., Riccardo Lo Cascio M.D., Piergiorgio Pirani M.D, and Roberto Castricini M.D.

Marco Maiotti, M.D. (corresponding author), Villa Stuart Hospital - Rome, Italy

Mediterranea Hospital - Naples, Italy

maiotti.marco@gmail.com

2) Andrea De Vita M.D.

Concordia Hospital - Rome, Italy

devita.andrea@gmail.com

3) Massimo De Benedetto M.D.

Casa di Cura Villa Verde - Fermo, Italy

massimodebenedetto@gmail.com

4) Simone Cerciello M.D.

Università Cattolica del sacro cuore - Rome, Italy

simonecerciello@me.com

5) Carlo Massoni M.D.

Mediterranea Hospital - Naples, Italy

cmassoni68@gmail.com

6) Angelo Di Giunta M.D.

Policlinico "G.B. Morgagni" – Catania, Italy

adigiunta@yahoo.it

7) Francesco Raffelini M.D.

Istituto Fiorentino di Cura e Assistenza – Florence, Italy

studioraffelini@libero.it

8) Riccardo Lo Cascio M.D.

Karol Cosentino Hospital – Palermo, Italy

riccardo_lo_cascio@hotmail.com

9) Piergiorgio Pirani M.D.

Infermi Hospital, Faenza – Italy

gozi2015@icloud.com

10) Roberto Castricini M.D.

Casa di Cura Villa Verde - Fermo, Italy

robertocastricini@gmail.com

Institutional review board approval was received from Comitato Etico Campania Centro (Prot. N° 229/ CE 1-2020 Oss).

Disclaimers:

Funding: No funding was disclosed by the authors.

Conflicts of interest: The authors, their immediate families, and any research foundation with which they are affiliated have not received any financial payments or other benefits from any commercial entity related to the subject of this article.

1 SIAGASCOT Upper Extremity Committee

2

3

4

5

Clinical Outcomes and Recurrence Rate of Four Procedures for Recurrent Anterior Shoulder Instability: ASA, Remplissage, Open and Arthroscopic Latarjet: A Retrospective Multicenter Study

6

7 ABSTRACT

8

9 The aim of the present study was to compare the clinical outcomes of four surgical techniques in
10 patients with recurrent anterior shoulder dislocation, glenoid bone loss (GBL) < 15% and Instability
11 Severity Index (ISI) score >3.

Methods: A retrospective multicenter study was conducted on 226 patients who underwent one of four different techniques (Bankart plus ASA, Bankart plus Remplissage, Latarjet, Arthro-Latarjet). The inclusion criteria were: recurrent dislocation, GBL<15%, and Instability Severity</p>

15 Index (ISI) score >3. The exclusion criteria were: GBL>15%, voluntary instability,

16 multidirectional instability, preexisting osteoarthritis, throwing athletes first dislocation and ISI

17 score<3. Follow-up ranged from 24 months to 6 years. Hyperlaxity was clinically evaluated 18 according to Neer and Coudane-Walch tests. Clinical outcomes were assessed using the Rowe score 19 and the Western Ontario Shoulder Instability Index (WOSI) for each technique. Before surgery, all 20 patients underwent magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography scanning. The Pico area 21 method was used to assess the percentage of GBL. The operations were performed 22 by 10 experienced surgeons; the functional outcomes were evaluated by 2 independent

23 observers.

Results: A total of 226 patients who met the inclusion criteria were included in the present 24 25 series. A total of 89.2% of patients in the ASA group reported an excellent Rowe score at the final 26 follow-up, and their scores on the WOSI scale, improved from 838 to 235 points. A total of 27 79.9% of patients in Remplissage (R) group reported an excellent Rowe score at the final follow-up, and their scores on the WOSI scale improved from 1146 to 465 points. A total of 98.5% of 28 patients in the Latarjet (L) group reported an excellent Rowe score at the final follow-up, and 29 their scores on the WOSI scale improved from 1456 to 319 points. A total of 81.6% of patients in the 30 31 Arthro-Latarjet (AL) group reported an excellent Rowe score at the final follow-up, and their scores on

| 32 | the WOSI scale improved from 1250 to 221 points. The recurrence rates were as follows: ASA |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 33 | group (7%), Remplissage group (6.1%) Latarjet group (1.5%), Arthro-Latarjet group (0%). |
| 34 | Patients in the open Latarjet group had 15.5% (10/66) more complications |
| 35 | Conclusion: The use of ASA and Remplissage to augment the Bankart repair have been |
| 36 | demonstrated to be effective for restoring joint stability, yielding good clinical outcomes similar |
| 37 | to the Latarjet procedure in patients affected by recurrent anterior dislocation with GBL $<15\%$ |
| 38 | and an ISI score score>3. Soft tissues augmentations of the Bankart repair have been demonstrated |
| 39 | to be effective for addressing anterior soft tissue deficiency and dysfunction and critical Hill-Sachs |
| 40 | lesions. |
| 41 | Level of evidence: Level III; Retrospective Comparative Study |
| 42 | Keywords: Traumatic shoulder instability; Arthroscopic subscapularis augmentation; glenoid defect; latarjet; |
| 43 | "Remplissage"; Hill-Sachs lesion. |
| 44 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 48 | |
| 49 | The treatment of chronic anterior shoulder instability still remains a challenging topic for orthopedic |
| 50 | surgeons since the cause of instability is multifactorial. |
| 51 | Soft tissue damage and dysfunctions, such as the elongation of the coracohumeral ligament and |
| 52 | laxity of the upper third of the subscapularis, play an important role in compromising shoulder |
| 53 | stability, especially in younger patients who engage in contact sports. |
| 54 | Furthermore, the presence of glenoid and humeral bone loss has been well demonstrated to be an |
| 55 | important risk factor for recurrence in patients with chronic shoulder instability. |
| 56 | In recent decades of the twentieth century arthroscopic anatomical capsule-labral repair has been a |
| 57 | considered the best surgical option in individuals with chronic anterior instability, although it has |
| 58 | also been shown to be associated with, a 20-60% increase in the risk of recurrence in the |
| 59 | presence of risk factors ^{37,27,43,4} . Therefore, for individuals with an (ISI score $>$ 3) the Latarjet |
| 60 | procedure is currently recommended ^{9,28} . |
| 61 | More recently, the concept of glenoid or humeral bone defects has evolved into a more |
| 62 | dynamic scenario with "on-track" or "off-track" Hill-Sachs lesions ¹¹ . In patients with "off- |
| 63 | track" humeral bone defect, the risk of recurrence is even higher, therefore, a simple capsule- |
| 64 | labral repair is not indicated. Wolf et al have described the "remplissage" procedure which aims to |
| 65 | fill the Hill-Sachs defect with a tenodesis of the infraspinatus converting the intra-articular lesion |
| 66 | into extra-articular and recentering the humeral head, by pulling it back ³¹ . Such tenodesis |
| 67 | reduces the risk of recurrence ^{44,5,26,29} . |
| | |
| | |

In contrast, the Remplissage procedure might be less effective in for restoring shoulder stability 68 69 among-patients with concomitant anterior capsular deficiency. More recently, arthroscopic subscapularis augmentation (ASA) combined with Bankart repair (70 consisting of a 71 tenodesis of the upper third of the subscapularis, has been proposed to treat patients with poor 72 anterior glenohumeral ligaments. The ASA technique has a triple effect: it restores 73 coracohumeral tension addresses the stretched part of the subscapularis tendon and augments 74 capsule-labral insufficiency without causing external rotation restriction ³⁴. The open or arthroscopic Latarjet ^{45,3,6} procedure has emerged in the past decade as one of 75 76 the most successful options to address chronic instability in patients with a high risk of recurrence ^{1,25} as these procedures are associated with the lowest recurrence rate in the literature: 2.9% for 77 the arthroscopic technique and 5.7% for the open technique. However, this is a nonanatomical 78 79 procedure with nonnegligible intra- and postoperative complications. The overall complication 80 rates are 23.7% for the arthroscopic technique and 15.3% for the open technique⁸. 81 The aim of the present multicenter study was to compare outcomes, recurrence and complications 82 of four surgical techniques in patients with recurrent anterior dislocation, glenoid bone loss <15% and an ISI score>3. 83

We hypothesized that outcomes of all patients will would be similar regardless of surgical
technique; soft tissue augmentation of the Bankart repair could be effective in restoring shoulder
stability in patients with capsule-ligamentous deficiency and critical Hill-Sachs lesions.

87

88

89 METHODS

This was a retrospective case- control study of 226 patients to compare the clinical outcomes of four
 surgical techniques (Bankart plus, ASA, Bankart plus Remplissage, Arthro-Latarjet and open

92 Latarjet) for the treatment of anterior shoulder instability in a homogeneous cohort of

patients. The study was conducted between December 2020 and March 2022 in patients with a

94 minimum follow-up of 24 months and a maximum follow-up of 6 years. Ethical committee

- 95 approval of the local institution was obtained for our study.
- 96 The surgical procedures were performed by 10 different experienced surgeons: ASA (M.M., M.D.,
- 97 C.M.), Remplissage (A.D., F.R., S.C.), arthro-Latarjet (R.C., P.P.), open Latarjet (A.D., F.R.,
- 98 R.L.). Ethical committee approval of the local institution was obtained from our study.
- All the ASA and Remplissage procedures were performed in a lateral decubitus position.
- 100 According to the original technique that has been described in the literature, the ASA consists a of
- 101 tenodesis of the upper third of the subscapularis on the glenoid neck.

A tendon fixation bone hole, should be made over the top of the glenoid corner, slightly posterior 102 103 to the anterior margin of the glenoid surface, to ensure a good bone stock for the anchor fixation. 104 The superior portion of the subscapularis tendon had to be perforated at least 5mm from its upper border, with a penetrator device slightly flush with the articular surface and the tenodesis was 105 performed with a 2.9 Pushlock loaded with multistrand tape (LabralTape; Arthrex, Naples, FL, 106 107 USA) The tendon should be fixed at the 2-o'clock position on the right shoulder and the 10-o'clock position on the left shoulder, maintaining the arm in neutral rotation. In the Remplissage procedure 108 109 the infraspinatus tenodesis was performed using a single triple-suture anchor in a parachute 110 configuration. All the ArthroLTG procedures were performed in the beach-chair position. The 7-111 portal technique was performed as described by Lafosse and a dedicated instrumentation (Depuy

112 Mitek,) was used.

113 A subscapularis split was performed and two 3.5 mm cannulated bicortical screws were used for

114 graft fixation. In the Open Latarjet a subscapularis split 2/3 superior 1/3 inferior was performed and

115 two cannulated screws were used for coracoid fixation in all patients.

116 Two independent observers conducted preoperative and postoperative ratings of functional

117 outcomes using consistent methods. After surgery, the arm was immobilized in a brace in the

adducted position for 4 weeks for all patients. The rehabilitation program was started at the end of

the fourth week, including passive and active shoulder exercises, to increase joint mobility and restore complete ROM After 8 to 9 weeks, recovery of strength and proprioceptive abilities were achieved. Return to sports was allowed after 5 months. The inclusion criteria were: recurrent

anterior instability; glenoid bone loss (GBL) <15%; and an ISI score >3. The exclusion

123 criteria were: GBL >15%, voluntary instability, multidirectional instability, pre-existing

124 osteoarthritis, throwing athletes and first incident of dislocation, an ISI score<3.

Hyperlaxity was evaluated according to Neer and Coudane-Walch tests³². Preoperatively, all patients
 underwent MRI to assess Hill Sachs lesions and underwent CT scan examinations by using the Pico

127 Area method to assess GBL measurement was used ². Age, sex, number of pre- and postoperative

dislocations, type of trauma at first dislocation, type of sports, and postoperative external rotation

129 (ER1-ER2) were assessed and compared with contralateral side. The time between the first

130 dislocation and surgery was also assessed (Table 1). Preoperative and postoperative patient

evaluations were conducted using the Rowe score and the Western Ontario Shoulder Instability

132 Index (WOSI) for each technique. Demographics and outcomes data were collected and

133 evaluated by two independent observers.

134

135 Statistical analysis

136

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 22.0 (SPSS; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for 137 138 statistical analysis and was conducted by an expert. To assess differences between categorical demographical data and preoperative characteristics. Regarding the four types of surgery, the 139 140 chi-square test was used. To assess differences between continuous data (described as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD), the ANOVA test was used. ANOVA gives a single statistic and one P 141 value indicating that we should support or reject the null hypothesis and stating that-groups were 142 different from each other, however ANOVA does not reveal which groups were different. 143 144 The significance level was set at a *P* value of < .05. The 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated using the Poisson distribution for rates of < 5% and the binomial distribution for rates \geq 145 5%. 146

147

148149 **RESULTS**

149 150

151 A total of 226 patients who met the inclusion criteria were included in the present series: Fifty-

seven in the Bankart plus ASA (ASA) group (25%); 65 in the Bankart plus Remplissage (R) group

153 (28%). 66 in the Latarjet (L) group (29%); and 38 in the arthro-Latarjet (AL) group (17%). There

154 were 197 males and 29 females.

155 Patients in the AL group had the longest follow-up (FU) duration, of 57.5 months; the FU duration

156 was 45,5 months in the L group; 44.2 months in the ASA group; 25 months in the R group. The

difference in mean age at the time of first dislocation was not significant (22-25 years) (Table 1).

158 The number of dislocations was higher in the L group (9.7, range 7-11) and AL group (8.6, range

159 5-12) than in other groups.

160 Patients in the ASA group were more involved in competitive (86%, 49/57) and contact sports (68%,

161 39/57) than those in the other groups. Hill-Sachs lesions were present in almost all cases.

162 At the final FU, patients in the ASA group had excellent Rowe scores (89.2%), and their scores on

the WOSI scale improved from 838 to 235 points. Patients in the R-group patients also had

164 excellent Rowe scores (76,9%), and their scores on the WOSI scale improved from 1146 to 465

points. Patients in the L-group had excellent Rowe scores (98.5%), and their scores on the WOSI

scale improved from 1456 to 319-points. Patients in the AL-group had excellent Rowe scores

167 (81.6%), and their scores on the WOSI scale-improved from 1250 to 221 points. (Table 2,

168 Table 3)

169 The mean loss of external rotation measured with the arm at the side of the trunk (ER1) and with the

arm at 90° of abduction (ER2) was higher in the "remplissage" group 31,% (ER1) and 27.6%
(ER2) differences compared with the contralateral side.(Table 4).

172 The mean preoperative ISI score was higher in the ASA group, 61% of patients 173 scored more than 6 points (Table 5).

174 Failure of previous surgery was reported among 29% (11/38) of patients in the AL group,

175 which was a higher proportion than that in the other groups. Patients in the open Latarjet group

had 15.5% (10/66) more complications. The rate of recurrence was 0% in the arthro-Latarjet group

177 1.5% in the Latarjet group, 7% in the ASA group, and 6.1% in the remplissage group (Table 6).

178 Revision surgeries were as follows: one ASA procedure after Latarjet failure; two ASA+Graft and

179 two Latarjet procedures after the four ASA failures; one Latarjet procedure after the four

180 Remplissage failures, two patients underwent physical therapy and one was lost at follow-up.

181

182

183 **DISCUSSION**

184

The most important finding of this study is that all the four surgical techniques were effective in reducing symptoms and improving shoulder functional status. Furthermore, Bankart-plus ASA and Bankart plus "Remplissage" procedures drastically reduce the failure rate of simple Bankart repair in patients with GBL less than 15% yielding similar outcomes to the Latarjet procedure in the medium-term follow-up ^{5,22,34}.

The cause of instability is multifactorial, anterior soft tissue damage and dysfunction and critical Hill Sach lesions play an important role in compromising shoulder stability especially in patients
 practicing contact sports.

193 Several studies ^{40,41} have demonstrated that elongation of the coracohumeral ligament, anterior

194 capsular stretching, poor quality capsular tissue and not only the Bankart lesions may be as

responsible for the glenohumeral dislocation. Furthermore, after multiple dislocations, the upper

196 part of the subscapularis tendon is lax 36,10,23,18,39 . The ASA technique, augmenting the Bankart

lesion from the front, restores anterior soft tissue disfunction and recenters the humeral head,
 pushing it posteriorly ³⁴.

199 Another common condition in patients with chronic shoulder instability that has been shown to be an

200 important risk factor for recurrence is the bone loss, often bipolar.

201 Hill-Sachs lesions, depending on size, orientation and site, can engage the GBL defect, the so-

202 called off-track lesion ^{12,20,16}. However if a lesion is on- or off-track, it is inaccurate to calculate

with the current glenoid track paradigm. ^{21, 30,35, 17,33}. Remplissage addresses this pathology from

the back and converts the Hill-Sachs lesion from intra-articular to extra-articular, recenters the humeral head, pulling it back, tightening the posteroinferior capsule ^{15,19}.

- 206 Hence, remplissage might cause a minimal limitation of the external rotation, ³⁸ however, this
- 207 possibility is not functionally relevant. Latarjet acts with a triple effect: the bone block effect, the
- 208 capsular effect, and the most important anterior hammock effect which is due to the action of the
- 209 conjoined tendon and inferior band of the subscapularis muscle.
- 210 The purpose of this paper was to compare the clinical outcomes of four different techniques
- 211 commonly used in surgical treatment of chronic shoulder instability.
- A total of 226 cases were examined: 57 ASA and 65 Remplissage, 66 Latarjet 38 Arthro-Latarjet.
- Both pre- and postoperative patient evaluations were conducted using the Rowe score and the
- 214 Western Ontario Shoulder Instability Index (WOSI) score for each technique employed. Almost all
- 215 patients reported either good or excellent results. In detail the Rowe scores were excellent for
- a high proportion of patients in the arthro-Latarjet (83.3%), Latarjet (98.5%), ASA
- 217 (89.2%), and remplissage-(76.9%) groups. Notably, in subjective evaluation both Latarjet and
- arthro-Latarjet patients reported considerable improvement between pre- and postoperativeconditions.
- 220 In particular, ligamentous laxity in ASA patients (Table 2) led to increased patient tolerance of
- articular instability in 19 preoperative cases. WOSI scores revealed highly positive results for all
- techniques considered. As shown in Table 3, WOSI scores reveal that the largest difference
- between preoperative and postoperative scores was observed in the Latarjet g r o u p (1137
- 224 points), indicating improvement in shoulder instability apprehension.
- 225 Regarding external rotation, Latarjet patients reveal postoperative ER1 higher limitation when
- compared to other techniques. This result might be due to the lower percentage of ligamentous laxity
- 227 in patients in the Latarjet group. A higher limitation in external rotation was seen in the
- 228 Remplissage group (Table 4). Regarding redislocation rate, the Latarjet group had better
- outcomes, (1,5%). Moreover, the open Latarjet group had a significant higher rate of complications
 (15,5%) (Table 6) ¹⁴.
- 231

232 We examined the correlation between the Injury Severity

- 233 Index Score (ISIS) and the type of surgery performed (Table 5). This finding
- reveals that in patients with ISI score between 4 and 6, all the four surgical techniques can be
- used. We also observed that 47,4% of the patients in ASA group with a score>6 showed good
- 236 results. Furthermore, this study demonstrates, again, that the ISI score cannot be used to determine the
- 237 proper surgical tecniques as confirmed by numerous studies.^{13,9}

Journal Pre-proof onouncer mistaoriney. rour surgical procedures compared.

The present comparison of the four above mentioned techniques demonstrated good results for all procedures and proved that the addition of soft tissue procedures, such as ASA and Remplissage, to the simple Bankart repair can lead to results quite similar to open or arthroscopic Latarjet, but with a lower rate of complications and without altering the anatomy of the coracoacromial arch. Furthermore, Arthro-Latarjet is still to be considered a valid technique but necessitates a long learning curve and should be performed only by expert surgeons ^{7,42,24}.

The elevated failure rate of simple Bankart repair, reported in the literature, confirmed the fact that anterior capsular dysfunction and posterior critical Hill-Sachs lesions were not addressed by anteroinferior capsular repair.

247

248 LIMITATIONS

249

There are several limitations in this paper. This was a retrospective study and a longer FU is necessary. On-off track Hill Sachs lesions were not calculated, which may have affected patient selection. Time and the cost of each individual technique were not considered. The minimal clinically important difference (MCID) or patient acceptable symptom state (PASS) were not evaluated.

255

256 CONCLUSION

ASA and Remplissage augmenting the Bankart repair have been demonstrated to be effective for restoring joint stability with clinical outcomes similar to the Latarjet procedure in patients affected by recurrent anterior dislocation with GBL <15% and an ISI score >3. Soft tissue augmentations of the Bankart repair have been demonstrated to be effective for addressing anterior soft tissue deficiency and disfunction and critical Hill-Sachs lesions.

262

REFERENCES

1. Allain J, Goutallier D, Glorion C. Long-term results of the Latarjet procedure for the treatment of anterior instability of the shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1998;80: 841-852.

2. Baudi P, Righi P, Bolognesi D, Rivetta S, Rossi Urtoler U, Guicciardi N et al. How to identify and calculate glenoid bone deficit. Chir Organi Mov. 2005;90(2):145-52. No doi

3. Bliven KCH, Parr GP. Outcomes of the Latarjet Procedure Compared With Bankart Repair for

Recurrent Traumatic Anterior Shoulder Instability.J Athl Train. 2018 Feb;53(2):181-183. doi:

10.4085/1062-6050-232-16.

4. Burkhart SS, De Beer JF. Traumatic Glenohumeral Bone Defects and Their Relationship to Failure of Arthroscopic Bankart Repairs: Significance of the Inverted-Pear Glenoid and the Humeral Engaging Hill-Sachs Lesion. Arthroscopy 2000Vol 16, No 7 (October) 16(7): 677–694 doi:10.1053/jars.2000.17715

5. Camus D, Domos P, Berard E, J, Mansat P, Bonnevialle N. Isolated arthroscopic Bankart repair vs. Bankart repair with "remplissage" for anterior shoulder instability with engaging Hill-Sachs lesion: A meta-analysis. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2018 Oct;104(6): 803-809.doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2018.05.011.

6. Castricini R, De Benedetto, Cimino M, Massarini M, Galasso O, Gasparini G. Arthroscopic Latarjet for primary shoulder instability with off-track lesions or revision surgery yields satisfactory clinical results, and reliable return to sports and work at minimum 3-year follow-up. A single surgeon's experience on 95 cases. Arthroscopy. 2002 Apr 22; S0749 8063(22) 00233-X doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2022.04.004.

7. Castricini R, De Benedetto M, Orlando N, Rocchi M, Zini R, Pirani P. Arthroscopic Latarjet procedure: analysis of the learning curve Musculoskelet Surg. 2013 Jun;97 Suppl 1:93-8. doi: 10.1007/s12306-013-0262-3.

8. Cerciello S, Corona K, Morris BJ, Santagada DA, Maccauro G. Early Outcomes and Perioperative Complications of the Arthroscopic Latarjet Procedure: Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. Am J Sports Med. 2019 Jul;47(9):2232-2241. doi: 10.1177/0363546518783743.

9. Dekker TJ, Peebles LA, Bernhardson AS, Golijanin P, Di Giacomo G, Hackett TR et al. Limited Predictive Value of the Instability Severity Index Score: Evaluation of 217 Consecutive Cases of Recurrent Anterior Shoulder Instability. Arthoscopy. 2021 May;37(5): 1381-1391.doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2020.12.185.

10. DePalma AF, Cooke J, Prabhakar M. Subscapularis in recurrent dislocations of the shoulder. Clin Orthop Rel Res. 1967;54: 35-49.

11. Di Giacomo G, Itoi E, Stephen S Burkhart<u>SS.</u> Evolving concept of bipolar bone loss and the Hill-Sachs lesion: from "engaging/non-engaging" lesion to "on-track/off-track" lesion. Arthroscopy. 2014 Jan;30(1):90-8. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2013.10.004

12. Di Giacomo G, Golijanin P, Sanchez G, Provencher MT. Radiographic analysis of the Hill-Sachs lesion in anteroinferior shoulder instability after first-time dislocations. Arthroscopy. 2016;32(8):1509–14. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2016.01.022

13. DiGiacomo G, Peebles LA, Pugliese M, Dekker TJ, Golijanin P, Sanchez A et al. Glenoid Track Instability Management Score: Radiographic Modification of the Instability Severity Index Score. Arthroscopy. 2020 Jan;36(1):56-67. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2019.07.020

14. Domos P, Lunini E, Walch G. Contraindications and complications of the Latarjet procedure. Shoulder Elbow. 2018 Jan;10(1): 15-24.doi: 10.1177/1758573217728716.

15. Elkinson I, Giles JW, Faber KJ, Boons HW, Ferreira L, Johnson JA et al. The effect of the remplissage procedure on shoulder stability and range of motion: an in vitro biomechanical assessment. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2012;94(11): 1003–12. Doi: 10.2106/JBJS.J.01956

16. Fox JA, Sanchez A, Zajac TJ, Provencher MT. Understanding the Hill-Sachs Lesion and Its Role in Patients with Recurrent Anterior Shoulder Instability. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med. 2017 Dec; 10(4): 469–479. doi: 10.1007/s12178-017-9437-0.

17. Funakoshi T, Hartzler RU, Stewien E, Burkhart S. Hill-Sachs Lesion Classification by the Glenoid Track Paradigm in Shoulder Instability. Poor Agreement Between 3-Dimensional Computed Tomographic and Arthroscopic Methods Arthroscopy 2019, vol.35(6) 1743-1749. *doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2018.12.005*

18. Gamulin A, Pizzolato G, Stern R, Hoffmeyer P. Anterior shoulder instability:Histomorphometric study of the subscapularis and deltoid muscles. Clin Orth Rel Res 2002;398:121-126. No doi

19. Garcia GH, Degen RM, Bui CNH, McGarry MH, Lee TQ, Dines JS. Biomechanical comparison of acute Hill-Sachs reduction with remplissage to treat complex anterior instability. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2017;26(6):1088–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2016.11.050.
20. Gyftopoulos S, Beltran LS, Yemin A, Strauss E, Meislin R, Laith Jazrawi L et al. Use of 3D

MR reconstructions in the evaluation of glenoid bone loss: a clinical study. Skelet Radiol. 2014;43(2):213–8. doi: 10.1007/s00256-013-1774-5.

21. Hatta T, Yamamoto N, Shinagawa K, Kawakami J, Itoi E. Surgical decision making based on the on-track/off-track concept for anterior shoulder instability: a case-control study JSES Open Access. 2019 Mar 9;3(1):25-28. doi: 10.1016/j.jses.2018.10.001.

22. Jeon YS, Jeon<u>g HY</u>, Lee DK, Rhee YG. Borderline Glenoid Bone Defect in Anterior Shoulder Instability: Latarjet Procedure Versus Bankart Repair.Am J Sports Med.2018 Jul; 46(9):2170-2176. doi: 10.1177/0363546518776978.

23. Klapper RC, Jobe FW, Matsura P. The subscapularis muscle and its glenohumeral ligamentlike bands: A histomorphological study. Am J Sports Med 1992;20:307-310.

24. Kordasiewicz B, Kicinski M, Matachowski K, Boszczyk A, Chaberek S, Pomianowski S.
Arthroscopic Latarjet Stabilization: Analysis of the Learning Curve in the First 90 Primary Cases:
Early Clinical Results and Computed Tomography Evaluation. Arthroscopy.2019
Dec. 35(12):3221-3237. doi.10.1016/j.arthro.2019.07.007

25. Lafosse L, Lejeune E, Bouchard A, Kakuda C, Gobezie R, Kochhar T. The arthroscopic Latarjet procedure for the treatment of anterior shoulder instability. Arthroscopy. 2007; 23: 1242.e1-1242. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2007.06.008.

26. Lazarides AL, KR, Ledbetter L, Riboh JC, Garrigues GE. Arthroscopic Remplissage for Anterior Shoulder Instability: A Systematic Review of Clinical and Biomechanical Studies Arthroscopy. 2019 Feb;35(2): 617-628. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.09.029.

27. Lee SH, Hoon K, Lim JW. Risk Factors for Recurrence of Anterior-Inferior Instability of the Shoulder After Arthroscopic Bankart Repair in Patients Younger Than 30 Years. Arthroscopy 2018 Sep;34(9): 2530-2536. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2018.03.032.

28. Lonjon G, Hardy P, Schoch <u>B</u>, Werthel <u>JD</u>. Long-term results of arthroscopic Bankart repairs for anterior instability of the shoulder in patients aged thirty years or older. Int Orthop. 2021 Jun;45(6):1583-1589. doi: 10.1007/s00264-021-05014-4.

29. MacDonald P, McRae S, Old J, Jonathan Marsh J, Dubberley J, Stranges G et al. Arthroscopic Bankart repair with and without arthroscopic infraspinatus remplissage in anterior shoulder instability with a Hill- Sachs defect: a randomized controlled trial. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2021 Jun;30(6):1288-1298. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2020.11.013.

30. Parada SA, Jones MC, DeFoor MT, Griswold BG, Roberts AD, Provencher <u>MT</u>. Mathematical modeling of glenoid bone loss demonstrate differences in calculations that May affect surgical decision making. J Orthop. 2020 Sep 22;22: 402-407. doi: 10.1016/j.jor.2020.09.016.

31. Purchase RJ, Wolf EM, Hobgood ER, Pollock ME, Smalley CC. Hill-Sachs "remplissage": an

arthroscopic solution for the engaging Hill-Sachs lesion. Arthroscopy. 2008 Jun;24(6):723-6. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2008.03.015.

32. Roparsa M, Fourniera A, Campilloc B, Bonanb I, Delamarcheb P, Crétual A et al. Clinical assessment of external rotation for the diagnosis of anterior shoulder hyperlaxity. The French Arthroscopy Society a Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research (2010) 96S, S84-S87. doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2010.09.002.

33. Schneider A, Hoy GA, Eugene K ET, <u>Rotstein AH, Tate J, McD Taylor D.</u> Interobserver and intraobserver variability of glenoid track measurements. Journal Shoulder Elbow Surg vol.26(4) 573-579 *doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2016.09.058*

34. Ren s, Zhang X, Zhou R, You T, Jiang X, Zhang W,

Arthroscopic subscapularis augmentation combined with capsulolabral reconstruction is safe and reliable. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, August 2019 <u>doi.org/10.1007/s00167-019-05648-3</u>

35. Solomon_DJ. Editorial Commentary: Better Stability Found With Primary Latarjet Compared With Those Performed After a Failed Arthroscopic Bankart Repair: Should We Be Doing More Primary Latarjet Procedures? Arthroscopy 2021 Nov;37(11):3253-

3254.doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2021.07.035.

36. Symeonides PP. The subscapularis muscle in the pathogenesis of recurrent anterior dislocation of the shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1972;54: 476-483.

37. Trasolini NA, Dandu N, Azua EN, Garrigues GE, Verma NN, Yanke AB. Inconsistencies in Controlling for Risk Factors for Recurrent Shoulder Instability After Primary Arthroscopic Bankart Repair: A Systematic Review. Am J Sports Med. 2021 Sep 30 doi:

10.1177/03635465211038712. Online ahead of print.

38. Trellopoulos A, E. Brilakis, E. Antonogiannakis E. Alternative and additive arthroscopic softtissue procedures for anterior shoulder instability. Obere Extremität. 2021; 16: 8-15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11678-021-00634-z

39. Tuoheti Y, Itoi E, Minagawa H, Wakabayashi I,Kobayashi M, Okada K. Quantitative assessment of thinning of the subscapularis tendon in recurrent anterior dislocation of the shoulder by use of magnetic resonance imaging. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2005;14: 11-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2004.04.009.

40. Turkel SJ, Panio MW, Marshall JL, Girgis FG. Stabilizing mechanisms preventing anterior dislocation of the glenohumeral joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1981;63: 1208-1217.

41.Urayama M, Itoi E, Sashi R, Minagawa H, Sato K. Capsular elongation in shoulders with recurrent anterior dislocation. Quantitative assessment with magnetic resonance arthrography. Am J

Sports Med 2003;31: 64-67. doi: 10.1177/03635465030310012201.

42. Valsamis EM, Kany J, Bonnevialle N, <u>Castricini</u> R, <u>Lädermann</u> A, <u>Cunningham</u> G et al. The arthroscopic Latarjet: a multisurgeon learning curve analysis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2020 Apr;29(4):681-688 doi. 10.1016/j.jse2019.10.022

43. Verweij LPE, van Spanning SH, Grillo A, Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Priester-Vink S, van Deurzen DFP et al. Age, participation in competitive sports, bony lesions, ALPSA lesions, > 1 preoperative dislocations, surgical delay and ISI score > 3 are risk factors for recurrence following arthroscopic Bankart repair: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 4584 shoulders. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2021 Dec;29(12): 4004-4014. doi:10.1007/s00167-021-06704-7. Epub 2021 Aug 22.

44. Wolf EM, Arianjam A. Hill-Sachs remplissage, an arthroscopic solution for the engaging Hill-Sachs lesion: 2- to 10-year follow-up and incidence of recurrence. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2014 Jun;23(6):814-20. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2013.09.009. Epub 2013 Dec 2.

45. Yang JS, Mazzocca AD, Cote MP, Edgar CM, Arciero RA. Recurrent anterior shoulder instability with combined bone loss: treatment and results with the modified Latarjet procedure. Am J Sports Med. 2016 Apr;44(4):922-32. doi: 10.1177/0363546515623929

| | Arthro- Latarjet | Latarjet | ASA | Remplissage | |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Number | 38 | 66 | 57 | 65 | |
| Sex (M/F) | 35/3 | 55/11 | 51/6 | 56/9 | 0. |
| | 92.1 | 83.3 | 89.5 | 86.2 | |
| Age | 27.3 | 32.7 | 29.8 | 26.7 | <0. |
| 8 | (24.8-29.8) | (30.2-35.2) | (27.5-32.1) | (24.7-28.7) | |
| Follow-up | 57.5 | 45.5 | 44.2 | 25.0 | <0. |
| (months) | (53.1-61.9) | (39.7-51.3) | (40.0-48.4) | (19.5-30.6) | |
| Shoulder | 22/16 | 39/27 | 33/24 | 40/25 | 1. |
| operated | 57.9 | 59.1 | 57.9 | 61.5 | |
| (R /L) | | | | | |
| Dominant arm | 34/4 | 62/4 | 47/9 | 56/9 | 0.4 |
| (R /L) | 89.5 | 93.9 | 82.4 | 87.7 | |
| Number of | 8.6 | 9.7 | 6.5 | 5.7 | <0. |
| luxation | (5.2-12.0 | (7.6-11.8) | (5.3-7.6) | (4.7-6.7) | |
| Age at first | 24.3 | 25.2 | 24.2 | 22.4 | 0.1 |
| luxation | (21.2-27.3) | (22.8-27.5) | (22.1-26.3) | (20.6-24.3) | |
| Months from | 32.5 | 77.7 | 44.1 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| first luxation to | (27.4-37.6) | (58.7-96.7) | (24.5-63.6) | (33.2-66.8) | |
| surgery | | | | | |
| Competitive | 32/6 | 45/21 | 49/8 | 34/31 | <0 |
| sport (Y/N) | 84.2 | 68.2 | 86.0 | 52.3 | |
| Contact sport | 14/24 | 10/56 | 39/18 | 13/52 | <0 |
| (Y/N) | 36.8 | 15.2 | 68.4 | 20.0 | |
| Shoulder | 8/30 | 15/51 | 19/38 | 18/47 | 0. |
| Hyperlaxity Y/N) | 21.1 | 22.7 | 33.3 | 27.7 | |
| ER1 | 76.7 | 53.6 | 72.6 | 77.8 | <0. |
| contralateral | (73.4-79.2) | (51.0-56.1) | (69.0-76.2) | (74.6-81.1) | |
| ER2 | 84.6 | 95.6 | 91.9 | 82.5 | <0. |
| contralateral | (83.0-86.1) | (92.1-99.2) | (90.2-93.6) | (80.0-85.0) | |
| Glenoid loss | 38/0 | 12/54 | 18/39 | 12/53 | <0 |
| contour (Y/N) | 100.0 | 18.2 | 31.6 | 18.5 | |
| Hill-Sachs (Y/N) | 38/0 | 61/5 | 51/6 | 64/1 | 0. |
| | 100.0 | 92.4 | 89.5 | 98.5 | |
| Previous surgery. | <mark>11.</mark> | <mark>5.</mark> | <mark>2</mark> | <mark>3</mark> . | > <mark>0.001</mark> |

Table 1. Baseline demographical and clinical characteristics (numbers and percentages or means and95% CIs) ofparticipants in the study according to the type of surgery

*. Chi Square; **. ANOVA

| Surgery/ ROWE | Arthro-Latarjet | Latarjet | ASA | Remplissage |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Follow-up | 93.6 (89.7-97.4) | 98.5 (97.4-99.6) | 91.7 (87.9-95.5) | 93.1 (90.9-95.3) |
| Level: | | | | |
| Excellent | 31 (81.6) | 65 (98.5) | 50 (89.2) | 50 (76.9) |
| Good | 6 (15.8) | 0 | 2 (3.6) | 14 (21.5) |
| Fair | 1 (2.6) | 1 (1.5) | 2 (3.6) | 1 (1.5) |
| Poor | 0 | 0 | 2 (3.6) | 0 |

| Table 2 – Mean ROWF scores (05% | CI) and level (n. and %) according to the type of surgery |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Table $2 = \text{Weath KOWE scores} (35.7)$ | CI) and level (ii. and 70) according to the type of surgery |

ROWE levels: Excellent (90-100). Good (75-89). Fair (40-74). Poor (0-39)

| Surgery/ WOSI | <mark>Arthro-</mark> Latarjet | Latarjet | ASA | Remplissage |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Baseline | 1250 (1181-1318) | 1456 (1363-1549) | 838 (777-900) | 1146 (1016-1275) |
| Follow-up | 221 (175-267) | 319 (257-381) | 235 (171-299) | 465 (391-540) |
| Difference | 1028 (997-1060) | 1137 (1038-1236) | 603 (536-670) | 680 (601-760) |

Table 3 – Mean (95%CI) WOSI scores and difference between baseline and follow-up according to the type of surgery

| Surgery/ | Arthro- | Latarjet | ASA | Remplissage |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| ER | Latarjet | - | | |
| ER1: | | | | |
| Operated | 78.3 (75.6-81.0) | 52.7 (49.7-55.8) | 66.8 (63.8-69.8) | 63.3 (58.6-68.0) |
| Contralateral | 81.5 (79.2-83.8) | 57.4 (53.4-61.4) | 75.2 (71.9-78.4) | 83.4 (81.5-85.4) |
| % Difference | 4.1 | 8.9 | 12.6 | 31.7 |
| ER2: | | | | |
| Operated | 87.2 (85.7-88.7) | 92.2 (89.4-95.0) | 84.5 (82.8-86.3) | 69.2 (63.8-74.7) |
| Contralateral | 89.5 (88.4-90.6) | 96.9 (94.2-99.6) | 91.8 (90.3-93.4) | 88.3 (86.7-89.9) |
| % Difference | 2.6 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 27.6 |

Table 4 - External rotations of the operated and contralateral arms and their % difference at follow-up according to the type of surgery

Journal Pre-proof

| Surgery/ ISIS | Arthro-Latarjet | Latarjet | ASA | Remplissage |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mean (95%CI) | 6.32 (5.95-6.70) | 5.68 (5.31-6.05) | 7.00 (6.55-7.45) | 6.37 (5.91-6.82) |
| ISIS Subgroup: | | | | |
| 4-6 points. n (%) | 23 (60.5) | 47 (72.7) | 22 (38.6) | 28 (46.0) |
| >6 points. n (%) | 15 (39.5) | 18 (27.3) | 35 (61.4) | 25 (38.1) |

| Table 5. Mean, 95% CI, and numbers and percentages for Instability Severity |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Index Score(ISIS) subgroups according to the type of surgery. |

| | arthro- Latarjet | Latarj | et ASA | rempliss | age P |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Number | 38 | 66 | 57 | 65 | |
| Complications | 1 | 10 | 2 | 2 | <mark>0.015</mark> |
| Re-dislocation | 0 | 1 | 4 | -6 <mark>4.</mark> | <mark>0.294</mark> |

Table 6. Complications and re-dislocation rate

| _ | arthro- Latarjet | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Number | 38 | 66 | 57 | 65 |
| Complication rate | 2.63% | 15.15% | 3.51% | 3.08% |
| | | | | |
| Re-dislocation rate | - | 1.52% | 7.02% | <mark>9%</mark> 6.1% |
| P of Chi Square | | | | |

Journal Pre-proof